



The Processed Triune God: Incarnation, Suffering, Resurrection, and Divine Accessibility

*Toward a Theology of God's Historical Self-
Giving in Christ*

Tim Becker

Independent Scholar, United States

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Article Type: Research Article

Author: Tim Becker

Issue: Volume 1, Issue 1

Publication period: July-December
2026

Section: Systematic Theology

ORCID: 0009-0005-2789-757X

Length: Approximately 2,100 words

ABSTRACT

The expression “processed Triune God” is provocative because it can appear to suggest change within the divine essence. Properly qualified, however, the language can function as an economic description of God’s historical self-giving rather than an ontological claim that the eternal Trinity develops from deficiency to perfection. This article examines incarnation, suffering, death, and resurrection as the decisive history in which the Son assumes human existence and makes the life of the Triune God accessible to believers. It argues that the process belongs to the economy of salvation: God remains eternally God while truly entering created history in the person of the Son. The incarnation is therefore not divine self-improvement but divine condescension; the cross is not the destruction of divine impassibility but the suffering of the incarnate Son in his assumed humanity; and resurrection is not God’s recovery from defeat but the vindication and glorification of the crucified Christ. Read in this way, the language of process can illuminate the movement from divine transcendence to experienced communion while remaining accountable to classical Trinitarian and Christological distinctions.

Keywords: Trinity; incarnation; divine economy; suffering; resurrection; divine accessibility; Witness Lee; Christology; Holy Spirit

1. The Problem of Calling God “Processed”

Christian theology has normally guarded the confession that God is not one being among others, developing through external pressures or acquiring attributes previously absent from the divine life. God does not mature into deity. The language of a “processed Triune God” therefore requires immediate qualification. In the theological vocabulary associated especially with

Witness Lee, “process” refers to the historical economy through which God comes to humanity in incarnation, human living, crucifixion, resurrection, and the giving of the Spirit. Lee explicitly distinguishes this economic process from alteration in God’s essence. That distinction is indispensable. Without it, the term risks suggesting that Father, Son, and Spirit become more fully divine through temporal events; with it, the term can be read as an attempt to name the real history of salvation without reducing that history to an appearance.

Theologically, the challenge is to affirm two truths simultaneously. The eternal God is not constituted by history, yet God has genuinely acted within history. The Son who becomes flesh is not a newly generated divine person, but the eternal Word who “became flesh and lived among us” (John 1:14). The events of Jesus’ life therefore belong to God’s saving economy without becoming stages in the production of God’s deity. “Process,” if retained, should consequently describe the historical form of divine self-communication rather than an alteration of the divine essence.

2. Incarnation and the Accessibility of God

The incarnation gives the language its strongest theological rationale. Christian faith does not confess merely that God sent information about himself. It confesses that the Word became flesh. In Jesus Christ, divine transcendence is not abolished, but God’s relation to humanity assumes a concrete human history. Philippians 2:6-11 expresses this movement through the language of self-emptying, servanthood, obedience, death, and exaltation. The subject throughout is the Son. He does not cease to be divine when he assumes the form of a servant; rather, his human obedience becomes the historical form in which divine self-giving is encountered.

To speak of divine accessibility is therefore not to imply that God was previously absent from creation. Scripture already knows God as Creator, covenant Lord, and the One whose Spirit gives life. Yet the incarnation establishes a new mode of communion: humanity encounters God in the human life of Jesus. The Christian claim is stronger than the assertion that Jesus represents God. The Son’s humanity becomes the created medium through which the eternal person acts, suffers, speaks, touches, eats, weeps, dies, and is raised. The inaccessible God is not rendered manageable, but the transcendent God freely makes himself knowable in the incarnate Son.

This is also why the language of process must remain Christological. Incarnation is not a process undergone by an abstract divine substance. It is the personal mission of the Son. Classical distinctions between the immanent Trinity and the economy of salvation help prevent the historical missions from being confused with the eternal constitution of God while still allowing the economy to reveal who God truly is.

3. Suffering, the Cross, and the Incarnate Son

The cross intensifies the problem. If the Triune God is said to have passed through suffering and death, what precisely is being claimed? Christian orthodoxy cannot simply attribute creaturely mortality to the divine nature as though deity itself were extinguished on Good Friday. Yet it is equally inadequate to place suffering at such a distance from God that the crucifixion becomes the tragedy of a merely human instrument.

The grammar of the incarnation permits a more careful confession: the one who suffers is the eternal Son, and he suffers truly according to the humanity he has assumed. The subject of the passion is not a separate human person alongside the Logos. Consequently, Christians can speak of the suffering of God in Christ when the statement is governed by the hypostatic union. The divine nature does not become passible in the same manner as created flesh, but the divine Son genuinely owns the suffering of his human life.

This protects the theological force of the cross. God's saving accessibility is not sentimental proximity. It reaches the extremity of abandonment, bodily pain, shame, and death. Hebrews describes a high priest able to sympathize with human weakness (Heb. 4:15), while the passion narratives refuse to spiritualize the violence inflicted upon Jesus. The God made accessible in Christ is therefore encountered not only in teaching and miracle but in wounded obedience. The "process" of the economy includes suffering because the incarnation is carried through to death rather than withdrawn when human existence becomes unbearable.

4. Resurrection and the Transformation of Access

Resurrection does not reverse the incarnation as though the Son temporarily entered humanity and then discarded it. The risen Christ remains the crucified Jesus, recognizable yet transformed, bearing continuity with the life that preceded death. The New Testament's resurrection witness therefore establishes a permanent significance for the incarnation. Humanity has been taken into the history of the Son and is not simply abandoned after the atonement is accomplished.

This is where the language of consummation used in Witness Lee's theology becomes intelligible, provided it remains economic rather than essential. Lee associates the "processed" and "consummated" Triune God with incarnation, human living, death, resurrection, and the Spirit's availability to believers. His intention is experiential: salvation is not merely knowledge that certain events occurred, but participation in the divine life communicated through the Spirit.

The resurrection is therefore not God becoming more divine. It is the eschatological vindication of the incarnate Son and the inauguration of a new relation between Christ and his people. Paul's language of the "life-giving Spirit" in 1 Corinthians 15:45 has generated complex theological interpretations, but at minimum the New Testament connects resurrection with the communication of life. The risen Christ is not simply an object remembered by believers; he is the living Lord whose presence is experienced through the Spirit.

5. The Spirit and Participatory Communion

A theology of divine accessibility reaches its proper goal in communion. The movement of incarnation, cross, and resurrection is not completed by human admiration from a distance. The New Testament speaks of believers being "in Christ," of Christ dwelling in them, and of the Spirit bearing witness within their lives. John 14-16 is particularly important because the departure of Jesus is paradoxically associated with a new form of presence. The promised Paraclete does not replace Christ with an unrelated divine agent; the Spirit mediates the continuing presence of the risen Lord within the communion of believers.

This experiential emphasis explains much of the attraction of the phrase "processed Triune God." Witness Lee repeatedly uses the expression in connection with believers' experience and enjoyment of the Father, Son, and Spirit and with the Spirit as the reality of Christ's saving work. The terminology can become problematic when its metaphors outrun classical distinctions, particularly where "mingling" language may be heard as collapsing Creator and creature. Nevertheless, its underlying concern is recognizably Christian: the God who saves does not remain external to the redeemed but draws them into communion.

Such communion must preserve difference. Participation in divine life is not absorption into the Godhead. Believers remain creatures, redeemed and sanctified by grace. The Spirit makes God intimately present without erasing the distinction between giver and recipient. Divine accessibility therefore culminates not in possession of God but in fellowship with God.

6. Conclusion

The expression “processed Triune God” should neither be accepted carelessly nor dismissed merely because its vocabulary is unconventional. Its theological usefulness depends upon a disciplined distinction between God’s immutable divine being and the real historical economy of salvation. God does not become Trinity through incarnation, suffering, death, and resurrection. Nor does the divine essence acquire perfection through temporal experience. Rather, the eternal Son enters human history, assumes human nature, lives obediently, suffers, dies, and is raised, and the saving reality of this history is communicated to believers through the Holy Spirit.

Understood in this qualified sense, “process” names the cost and concreteness of divine self-giving. The incarnation makes God accessible without making God finite; the cross makes divine solidarity with suffering real without annihilating deity; resurrection establishes the victory and continuing humanity of Christ; and the Spirit makes the salvation accomplished in Christ participatory rather than merely historical information.

The theological centre is therefore not process for its own sake but communion. The Triune God who eternally possesses life in himself freely enters the economy of salvation so that creatures may know, receive, and participate in that life by grace. Christian accessibility to God is possible because God has first made himself accessible in Christ.

Bibliography

Athanasius. *On the Incarnation*. Translated by John Behr. Yonkers, NY: St Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2011.

Augustine. *The Trinity*. Translated by Edmund Hill. Brooklyn, NY: New City Press, 1991.

Calvin, John. *Institutes of the Christian Religion*. Edited by John T. McNeill. Translated by Ford Lewis Battles. 2 vols. Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 1960.

Lee, Witness. *The Christian Life*. Anaheim, CA: Living Stream Ministry, 1994.

Lee, Witness. *The Triune God to Be Life to the Tripartite Man*. Anaheim, CA: Living Stream Ministry, 1996.

Lee, Witness. *The Organism of the Triune God in the Organic Union of His Divine Trinity*. Anaheim, CA: Living Stream Ministry, 1988.

Moltmann, Jürgen. *The Crucified God*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1993.

Torrance, Thomas F. *The Christian Doctrine of God: One Being Three Persons*. Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1996.

The Holy Bible. Scripture references are cited by book, chapter, and verse.

About the Author

Tim Becker is an independent scholar in the United States and serves on the Editorial Advisory Board of Faith • Civilization • Theology. ORCID: 0009-0005-2789-757X.